G. R. S. IX-51

Previous references.

File No 161-20

Serial Nos 167

Later references.

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT

Serial No 167

Part B for September 1921

Subject

Ramgarh

G. R. b. 11] 1920-21

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT

(Pub)

NOTES

File No. 161-20

FILE HEADING.

Bangalore City Improvements.

From

The Chairman, City Impt. Committee, Bangalore

No. 804 Dated 6-12 Received 22-12-20

Diary No. 4860	Enclos 5 Spare Copies.	Serial No. 1

BRIEF ABSTRACT OF LETTER.

Submits for early sanction plans and estimate amounting to Rs. 1,6,000/- for the frontage of the City Municipal Office finally approved by the City Improvement Committee and the Board Council

NOTES AND ORDERS.

The plans and elevation of the Municipal frontage to be arranged by Mr Priestley Rowe have mainly adopted in the present plan with the following slight alterations in the Ground floor

Notes—First Sheet.

Serial No

structural details:.

1) Solid panels of 4 thick with a recessing in to centre of width on front of shops to be substituted instead of blocks of bricks as proposed by Mr Frietchley so as to allow light into the shops

2) The arches in the arcade of shops to be segmental instead of semi circular as designed by Mr Frietchley

3) Pukka terraced flooring to be substituted for the kutcha one as proposed by Mr Frietchley so as to provide for the addition of a light upper storey at any future time

4) The gate at the main entrance to be an ornamental one

2 The work now in question is a part of the general scheme of construction of the General Market estimated to cost Rs 4 ½ Lakhs sanctioned in G O no 6317-10/Rel 87-17-32 dt 9th May 1918 out of this sum of Rs 4 ½ Lakhs, a sum of

NOTE—Contn sheet.

4850

3

G. R. S III 2.

General and Revenue Secretariat | mk File No. 16 / of 19 21

Serial No

Rs 1,96,457/- is reported to have been required for payment of compensation for the properties acquired for the [illegible] General Market and Rs 1,56000/- for the construction of the exterior Stable leaving a balance of only Rs 1,04053/- for the construction of the frontage to the market against an estimated outlay of Rs 1,16,000/- for the work the excess of Rs 9,000/- has to be sanctioned now

3 The Chief Engr will be requested to submit the present estimate as required by standing orders

Sgh 27 12 21

4 Rev Secy) 30 12

5 Mgr Lee 31 12

6 The Chief Engr 31 12

2 2 4-1-21

6 Forwarded with compliments for favour of security of the estimate & sanction

By order

D Smith
Asst Rev Secy

Bldg Subordinate Dept

Lt 1/1

Lt 4/21

NOTE—Contn sheet

Submitted 6/1

Lt 31/1/21

G R. S. III-2,

General and Revenue Secretariat. | File No. 161 of 1921

Serial No

7 Returned with compliments, to the Secretary to Government, Revenue Department.

8 The estimate as scrutinised by this office amounts to Rs1,20,820 against Rs1,16,000 as submitted by the Chairman, City Improvement Committee, Bangalore.

9 The revised estimate may be approved.

Bangalore 22 3 1921 } FOR CHIEF ENGINEER OF MYSORE.

J.R.

DEVAN's OFFICE. Rec'd on APR 1st 1921 Reg. No. 6635

Note—Contn. sheet.

5

L. R. S. III-21

General and Revenue Secretariat.

File o. of 19

Serial No

[illegible] any [illegible] for schemes in the line of work mentioned. If so, the case should be by reference to the budget (interim) as [illegible] [illegible] [illegible]

4850 C

R S III-8j

General and Revenue Secretariat | File No. 161 of 19 21

Serial No.

Parks Improvements" in the State Budget for the next year Sence the allotment has to be distributed among several chas including the Bangalore City Improvements, a round provision only can be expected for city improvement work. Financial Secy may, if approved be consulted on the matter as to the probable amount that can be made available for the Bangalore City Improvements for 21-22 The Chairman can then be asked what amount he will allot for this particular work or of the frontage can be deferred in favour of the completion of the Interior portions first

Hm 5/5

2) It is ascertained in another connection that a Special Grant of Rs 75000/- only has been made for next year for Bangalore City Improvements. If approved this fact will be intimated to the Chairman

20.4.21 5 5 21

Hm 5/5

If Secy we have asked for this in another file Reply may be awaited

Hm 5/5/21

Secy

Works—Comm. sheet

4850

R 3 III 2]

General and Revenue Secretariat | Genl File No. 161 of 19 20

Serial No.

and he will be asked to furnish an
memorandum on the points indicated
in para 18 supra.

19/5/21

19 Yes Mem 13/5

19 draft & submit for appl

19/5/21

19/5/21

10 Nov seq)

23/5

11 May issue -
(Drafts should be clearer &
concise, should not be diffi-
cult to make out)

23/5/21

2 | 20 The Chairman City Improvement Committee
etc R 1381/21 dt 28 Sept 21

Enquires whether any money can
be made available for the work
next year etc

North Bombay sheet

9088

G R 3 III-2]

General and Revenue Secretariat

File No 161 of 19

Serial No	
3	Letter No. 6082 G. C. 21 from the Chairman, City Improvement Committee, Bangalore Stating that the encroachment formerly is a necessity as an integral portion of the market and has been designed more on consideration of stability than ornamentation and requests that under the circumstances further explained, the estimate for the frontage may be sanctioned as to make the work to be taken up in the current year and completed
	22 Submitted for orders with reference to para 14 supra the Chairman has not said anything regarding the provision to be made for the work out of the grant for such improvements, as called for
	23 For orders

The M.I.C may advance the required funds if necessary, he says

(Revenue)

106

(Revenue)

11 6 21

MYSORE SECRETARIAT OFFICE
Received on JUN 19 1921
Reg. No. 7727

NOTE—Contn sheet

9080 C9

G. R. S. III-2,

General and Revenue Secretariat | File No. 161 of 1920-

Serial No

26 Information may also be obtained as to the amount spent up to date out of the estimate of Rs 1,56,000 for the construction of the interior stalls and the amount that is proposed to be allotted for this purpose out of the budget grant this year

6/7/21

27 draft to send for approval

9.7.21

5

Letter No. L 182 dt 11/7/21 to the Commissioner City Municipality, Bangalore. Requesting him to state the amount spent upto-date out of the sum of Rs 1,56,000/- sanctioned for the construction of the interior Stalls of the General Market and also the amount is proposed to be allotted for the purpose out of the Budget Grant for the current year

Sent 6/7

Note—Contn sheet.

9 388 10)

I. R. S III 2]

General and Revenue Secretariat

File No 161 of 1920

Serial No	
6	From the Chairman, City Improvement Committee Bangalore No 94 dated 25th July 1921 Reply to sl 5 1) The Chairman states that (a) out of Rs Rs 1,56,000 sanctioned for the construction of the interior slabs a sum of Rs 88,881 has been spent up to date (2) Out of the grants for the current year (1921-22) a sum of Rs 45,000 — Rs 30,000 from Govt and Rs 15,000 from the Municipality is proposed to be spent on the construction of the interior slabs and Rs 24,000 — Rs 16,333 from the State grant of Rs 8167/- from the Municipality is also proposed to be spent on the construction of the market frontage and states that during the last meeting of the City Impt Committee there were strong Caucasus of opinion among members that the construction of the new market both the frontage should be completed as early as possible as the postponement the work is a source of loss to the municipality and great inconvenience to the public 3) Subs for orders both in reference to para 25 Vide 2/7 Ind New Secy) Dewan) 3) The administration complies by the instructions of the Govt market by 1,16,000 only the amount 3 lacs unspent by the Govt 25-7-21 12-8-21

DEWAN'S OFFICE
Rec'd. on JUL 26 1921
Reg. No. 567

NOTE—Contn. sheet

9086
388

11

R S III 21

General and Revenue Secretariat

Mint File No 161 of 1920.

Serial No

Mr Subb to ch a draft G.O. as per para 3 Supra

145 17/8/21

Mr Nowsay

2/9

D-S N and dft G.O. for appl.

Dewan

12-9-21

DEWAN'S OFFICE.
SEP 14 1921
No. 1737

Note—Contn. sheet

12

Submitted to His Highness

The Chairman City Improvement Committee Bangalore solicited sanction to an estimate for Rs 1,16 000 for the construction of the frontage to the General Market Bangalore The estimate has been approved by both the City Improvement Committee and the Municipal Council The plan and elevation of the building are mainly based on the design of Mr Fritchley of Bombay with only slight alterations in the constructional details The estimate has been scrutinised by the Chief Engineer for Rs 1 20 820

2 The work in question is a part of the general scheme of construction of the General Market estimated to cost Rs 4½ lakhs and referred to in the programme of the Bangalore City Improvement works appended to Government order No 631/-20/M1 87-17-32 dated the 9th May 1918

3 Out of the sum of Rs 4½ lakhs referred to above Rs 1 86 94½ is reported to have been expended for compensation for properties acquired in the area and a sum of Rs 1,56 000 has been sanctioned by Government for constructing interior stalls now in progress and the balance left for meeting the present estimate of Rs 1 20 820 is only Rs 1 07 053 The excess of Rs 13 767 has to be sanctioned now The charge is debitable to the City Improvement Funds

4 The draft sanctioning the estimate as modified by the Chief Engineer may be graciously approved

15th Sept- 1921

13

Record of Cases submitted for the Orders of His Highness the Maharaja

Subject

Modified estimate amounting to Rs 1,20,820 for constructing the frontage the General Market, Bangalore

265

Order

~~KRW~~
20/9/21

Rev Ly
21 9 21

L B
21 9 21

Issue draft sanction

20/9/21

12

14

G R S III 2)

General and Revenue Secretariat | Part File No. 161 of 1920

Serial No	
7	G.O.C. dg 37-60 & 4 g 21 from the Chairman, City Improvement Committee Sanctions the estimate for Rs 11,24,[illegible]/- as modified by the Chief Engr

Rs

NOTE—Contn sheet.

No 304 OFFICE OF THE CHAIRMAN CITY IMPROVEMENT COMMITTEE

Encls as per list attached Bangalore 6th December 19 d

From

DEWAN BAHADUR RAJASABHABHUSHANA
K P PUTTANNA CHETTY ESQ C I I
Chairman City Improvement Committee

Imp I 16
Rs No 4850
22-12-20

To

G Aravamudu Iyengar Esq B A B L
Secretary to the Government of His Highness the
Maharaja of Mysore, Revenue Department,
B a n g a l o r e

SIR

In continuation of the Estimate for the interior stalls of the New Market which was sanctioned by Government in their order No 9805-7 M1 87-17-55 dated the 5th February 1919, and which work is being executed, I have the honor to submit herewith for favor of early sanction of Government, plans and estimate amounting to -- Rs 1 16 000/- for the frontage of the Market as finally approved by the City Improvement Committee and the Municipal Council - vide copies of resolutions enclosed

2 The design was originally entrusted to Mr Fritchley - vide sanction accorded by Government in their order No 2894-6 M1 87-17-8 dated 23rd November 1917 The designs submitted by him from time to time required modifications both on account of high cost and want of convenience in the structures designed

3 A brief memo is appended hereto which will explain the amount of care and scrutiny exercised by the City Improvement Committee in the settlement of the design finally

4 The plan and elevation of the building are mainly based on the design of Mr Fritchley with only slight alterations in the details as modified by the Committee

5 Plans A_1, A_2, A_3, A_4 were those submitted by Mr Fritchley showing ground and first floor plan, front and rear elevation and

6

tions The estimated cost following this design was Rs 1,73,000/- the suggestion of the Committee he modified the design to what is own in plan B

6 In the final design now submitted for sanction, the central e in Mr Fritchley's design A has been adopted, while for the shop elevation and mid-wing features, the design in plan B has been adopted th only one alteration, viz the roof is to be terraced instead of tiled

7 The amount sanctioned for the scheme of the New Market, vide Government order No 6317-20 M1 87-17-32 dated 9th May 1918 is -- Rs 4,50,000/- Out of this a sum of Rs 1 86 947/- has been expended for compensation for properties acquired in the area and a sum of Rs 1,56,000/- has been sanctioned by Government for the construction of the interior stalls Thus a balance of Rs 1,07 053 is left for meeting the estimate of Rs 1 16,000/- for the frontage The excess of Rs 9,000/-, being barely 2% of the originally sanctioned amount, may be sanctioned

8 I request that you may be so good as to obtain the early sanction of Government to the plans and estimate

I have the honor to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

Chairman
City Improvement Committee,
Bangalore

17

City Improvement Dn

Detailed estimate of
the probable Cost of
Constructing Market Frontage
Bangalore City

Amount of Estimate Rs 1,2 ~~1,60,000~~/-

G R S 26]

File No 161/20
Serial No 2

Diary No of letter replied to 4850

No R 13319

General and Revenue Secretariat
(Rul)
the *19*

To

Treasurer
as per list

The Chairman,
City Improvement
Committee, Bangalore

Date of issue 28 5 21

Signed by Dewan. D Srinivasaraghavan

Sir,

With ref to your letter No 3014 B/RE 6th Dec 1920 submitting for sanction an order made amounting to Rs 1,16 asst for constructing a frontage to a market, I am directed to enquire, ~~etc~~, in view of the fact that only a sum of

SUBJECT

Rs 5000/- has been provided in the State Budget for the Current Year as Govt Grant for the Bangalore city Improvements, whether any funds can be allotted out of the Budget for Expenditure during the Current Year for the Frontage work in question and if not the possible date on which the work can be taken up when funds are found available, also whether it is preferable to defer the work which is more ornamental than useful, to be taken up when the construction of the intern or being attended to without affecting the object of the trunk sewerage.

The estimate and the enclosures to your letter under reply are herewith returned.

I have the honour to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

Secretary.

1952

List of enclosures

Copied by

Examined by

GPB—10,000—28-9-11

No 602 OFFICE OF THE CHAIRMAN CITY IMPROVEMENT COMMITTEE

Encls As per list attached Dated Bangalore 4th June 1921

D2276 From

DEN & REV SECTT 7 - JUN 1921

DEWAN BAHADUR RAJA SABHA BHUSHANA
K P PUTTANNA CHETTY CIE
Chairman City Improvement Committee
Bangalore

D N° 9086 7/6/21

B Ramaswamaiya Esq B A B L
Secretary to the Government of His Highness
the Maharaja of Mysore,
Revenue Department,
Bangalore

SIR

Rev 161-20-3

With reference to your letter No R 13319 M1 161-20-2 dated the 28th May 1921, enquiring whether the construction of the Market Frontage, for which an estimate for Rs 1 16 000/- is pending before Government, can be deferred on account of the reduction of the State grant to Rs 75,000/- for the year 1921-22 I have the honor to state that the Market frontage is a necessity as an integral portion of the Market and has been designed more on consideration of utility than ornamentation It is in this block that really good sized shops are provided for accommodating larger trades of various kinds, without which the usefulness of the Market will leave very much to be desired

2 The Market cannot be used, if it is not enclosed properly Frontage shops, in a way, protect one side of the Market and also they will be more productive than the other stalls

3 As might be seen from the final design approved by the City Improvement Committee and the Municipal Council, all superfluous ornamentation of the original designs has been cut out and only just what is required for an imposing appearance is retained It is in the frontage block that accommodation is provided for the Sergeant who will be in charge of the Market

4 I would therefore represent, especially when other

21

improvement works are held in abeyance, that the New Market, which has been started, should be completed in a way that will make it really useful to the public

5 I believe that the Municipal Council will share my views and may be prepared to advance funds, if necessary, to supplement the State grant subject to adjustment in due course, so that the Market Frontage may be taken up next year and completed

6 Under the circumstances I request that you may be so good as to move Government to sanction the estimate for the Market Frontage

7 The enclosures received with your letter under reply are herewith resubmitted

I have the honor to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

B. Narasimhaiah

Chairman,
City Improvement Committee,
Bangalore.

18/8

Enclosures

Not received back with Rev Secretary's letter No R 13319/M1 161-20-2 dated Bangalore 28th May 1921

1 Roll containing 3 blue prints of plans approved by the City Improvement Committee and the Municipal Council

(i) Site plan
(ii) Ground and upper floor plan and cross section
(iii) Elevation

1 Roll containing 5 blue prints of Mr Fritchley's design chief features of which have been adopted in the finally approved plan

(A_1) Ground and upper floor plan
(A_2) Front elevation
(A_3) Rear elevation
(A_4) Side elevation and section
(B) Revised design for reducing the cost showing front and rear elevations (in part) and section

1 Detail and abstract estimate (11 sheets)

2 Memorandum (6 Pages)

1 Copies of resolutions of the City Improvement Committee and Municipal Council (3 pages)

5

Proceedings of the Bangalore City Improvement Committee at the meeting held in the City Municipal Office on Saturday the 7th August 1920

Present

1 Dewan Bahadur Rajasabhabhushana K P Puttanna Chetty Esq ,C I E , (Chairman)

2 J Bhore Esq ,A M I C E , Superintending Engineer, Sanitary Circle, Bangalore

3 A K Pani Esq ,D P H Sanitary Commissioner to the Government of Mysore Bangalore

4 Rev D R Rees

5 K Sreenivasa Rao Esq B A

6 B Abdur Rahmon Esq B A

7 B Usman Khan Esq

8 B Chinnaswamy Chetty Esq

9 M Ramachandra Rao Sindia Esq

Absent

1 B K Garudachar Esq , (Vice Chairman)

2 M Subbaiya Esq B A

3 V Lakshmanaswami Esq

XX XX XX

5 Revised plans of the Market Frontage prepared by the Executive Engineer City Improvement Division

Resolution -- Approved with the modification that the design of the pillars be altered so that instead of two pillars with an interval of 2' open space between them or a solid pier 4' thick the pier may be perforated in the centre for 1 foot width

Sd K P Puttanna Chetty

Chairman

Proceedings of the Bangalore City Improvement Committee at the Meeting held in the City Municipal Office, Bangalore, on Saturday the 9th October 1920

Present

1 Dewan Bahadur Rajasabhabhushana K P Puttanna Chetty Esq ,C I E (Chairman)

2 B K Garudachar Esq , (Vice Chairman)

3 K Sreenivasa Rao Esq

4 Rev D A Rees

5 B Usman Khan Esq

6 M. Ramachandra Rao Sindia Esq

7 B Chinnaswami Chetty Esq

8 M Subbiah Esq B A

9 V Lakshmanaswami Esq

Absent

1 J Bhore Esq ,A M I C E
Superintending Engineer, Sanitary Circle
(Intimated inability to attend the Meeting)

2 A K Pani Esq D P H
Sanitary Commissioner
(Intimated his inability to attend the Meeting)

3 B Abdur Rahmon Esq ,B A

XX XX XX

7 Detailed estimate amounting to Rs 1 16 000/- for the Market Frontage

Resolution -- Approved

Sd K P Puttanna Chetty

Chairman

Proceedings of an Ordinary General Meeting of the City Municipal Council, Bangalore held in the Council Chamber on Saturday the 6th November 1920

1. Letter No 236 dated 18th October 1920, from the Chairman

25

City Improvement Committee, Bangalore forwarding for the approval of the Municipal Council, plans and estimate for the construction of the frontage of the New General Market, amounting to -- Rs.1,16 000/-

Resolution -- Approved

Sd B K Garudachar
Honorary President

"COPIES

Chairman
City Improvement Committee
Bangalore

P 869

Memorandum regarding the design, plans and estimate of the Market Frontage of the New Market

---

1 Resolution of City Improvement Committee dated 4th October 1917 to entrust the preparation of the design of the Market Frontage to Mr Fritchley, Architect, Bombay

2 Government order No 2894 - 6 Ml 17-17-8 dated 23rd November 1917 sanctioning the above City Improvement Committee's proposal

3 Mr Fritchley submitted his sketch plans on 5th February 1918 and they were scrutinized by the Committee on 9th May 1918 with the following observations —

5 Market Frontage

The design prepared by Mr Fritchley was examined as also the suggestions that had been made by Mr J E A D'Cruz and the following decision was arrived at --

This is adopted in final design with slight alteration

(1) The design for the main gate be approved as prepared by Mr Fritchley

This is adopted

(2) Instead of a partitioned corridor in front of the shop, there should be an arcade with its floor level 8" higher than the road level and 1' - 4" lower than shop floor level

--do--

(3) Steps of shops not to project into the arcade but to be within the line of the front wall of shops

(4)

27

This is adopted

(4) The ceiling of the arcade and shops to be at the same level

-do--

(5) Weather-shades in front of shops to be omitted as unnecessary

--do--

(6) Shop doors to be 6' 4 wide "

4 Mr Fritchley took away with him the sketch plans for modification on lines indicated by the Committee

5 Mr Fritchley on 11th June 1918 sent 8' scale plans marked A1 A2 A3 A4, and an estimate for Rs ~~1,75,000/-~~ 173644 on 13th July 1918

6 The following note was prepared by Mr D'Cruz on the designs of Mr Fritchley

This suggestion is adopted in the final design

4 1 The facade is to be of stone not brick The pillars in the arcade are Ionic I submit the caps may be plainer and instead of pillars piers with pillasters may be more suitable

This is adopted with slight alteration vide subsequent opinion of the Committee

2 The verandah is 7' wide and the arcade is 13' high at the crown of the arches--to be a better protection for the public, the semicircular portions may be venetioned with Cuddappah slabs There would be then an opening of 9' x 6½

Arches made segmental in final design as per subsequent suggestion of the Committee

28

3 The main entrance requires an ornamental gate to shut the market at nights

Provided in final design

4 In the arcade the arches are semicircular Mr Fritchley's opinion may be further taken as to whether they should not be flat or segmental as originally designed by him and more in keeping with the style of Town Hall and Municipal Offices I can find nothing else to bring to your notice The plans are quite good

Segmental arch has been adopted

7 The subject came up for discussion before City Improvement Committee on 16th July 1918 when the following observations were made

" The several points mentioned in the note prepared by the Executive Engineer, City Drainage Project are important and may be referred to Mr Fritchley for consideration and effecting such modifications in the design as he may deem necessary for keeping down the estimated cost at rupees one lakh "

8 Mr Fritchley came to Bangalore on the 17th July 1918 and agreed to revise the estimate on the lines indicated by the Committee and submitted part sketches of the revised plans on

28

25th July 1918 Plans B

9 Mr D'Cruz submitted a note on the above plan on 10th August 1918 as follows --

" MARKET FRONTAGE

I have the honour to submit a modified plan which would, I believe, be more economical to adopt where piers for pillars have been substituted and a terraced instead of a tiled roof adopted so that at any future time a light upper storey may be added

Adopted in final design

To reduce the scantling of beams spanning 20 pillars have been inserted midway to make the span 10 feet only This may conveniently be done because the partition walls run along under the beams Foundations also have been reduced in depth "

Adopted

10 On 23rd August 1918 the City Improvement Committee met and approved of suggestions contained in Mr D'Cruz's note above and wanted them to be communicated to Mr Fritchley for adoption

11 Mr Fritchley objected in his letter dated 28th August 1918 that broad piers in the verandah provided by Mr D'Cruz would cut off light to the shops whereas the space between these pillars in his design would admit more light

20

12 On 2nd September 1918 the City Improvement Committee met and approved of the broad piers in Mr D'Cruz's design as they thought that sufficient light was admitted through front door and the view communicated to Mr Fritchley

13 On 7th October 1918 the question of roof came up before the Committee They however left it for the decision of the Engineering Members, whose decision to have a <u>pucka terraced</u> roof in place of concrete on corrugated sheet proposed by Mr Fritchley, was approved by the Committee in their subsequent meeting on 11th November 1918

14 Mr Fritchley did not take any further steps in the matter and his services terminated in August 1918 The designs prepared afresh by Mr D'Cruz were submitted on the 30th June 1920

15 On 10th July 1920 the Committee considered these plans and decided that they may be revised as follows --

"Mr D'Cruz's plan should be altered keeping to the facade provided in Mr Fritchley's revised plan (B) but adopting the internal arrangements as per Mr D'Cruz's suggestions already approved

The design of the central tower to be modified as per Mr Fritchley's plan (A_2) but the treatment of the 2nd floors should conform exactly to the design of the 1st floor of the end towers

31

The centre half to be according to Mr Fritchley's revised plan (B) but a door to be placed where a window is shewn

16 The revised plans and estimate for Rs 1 16 000 were finally approved by the Committee on 9th October 1920 and by the Municipal Council on 6th November 1920

Sd K P Puttanna Chetty

Chairman
City Improvement Committee,
Bangalore

C O P Y '

Chairman
City Improvement Committee
Bangalore

No 608 OFFICE OF THE CHAIRMAN CITY IMPROVEMENT COMMITTEE

935 Encls one resolution Dated Bangalore 11th June 1921

SG JUN 1921

From

DEWAN BAHADUR RAJA SABHA BHUSHANA
K P PUTTANNA CHETTY C.I.I
Chairman City Improvement Committee
Bangalore

To

WAN'S OFFICE.
JUL 1 1921
No. 23.

B Ramaswamaiya Esq , B A B L
Secretary to the Government of His Highness the
Maharaja of Mysore, Revenue Department,
B a n g a l o r e

SIR

In continuation of my letter No 602 dated the 6th instant, regarding the estimate for constructing the Market Frontage I have the honor to submit herewith, for the information of Government a copy of the resolution of the City Improvement Committee dated the 9th instant endorsing my views in the matter and observing that the work should on no account be deferred

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant,

K P Puttannachetty
Chairman,
City Improvement Committee,
Bangalore

23

Proceedings of the Bangalore City Improvement Committee at an adjourned meeting held at 8 A M in the City Municipal Office, Bangalore, on Thursday the 9th June 1921

P r e s e n t

1 Dewan Bahadur Rajasabha Bhushana
K P Puttanna Chetty , C I E (Chairman)

2 John Bhore Esq A M I C E
Superintending Engineer, Sanitary Circle

3 B Usman Khan Esq

4 B Chinnaswami Chetty Esq

A b s e n t

1 B K Garudachar Esq , (Vice Chairman)
(Intimated his inability to attend the meeting)

2 K Sreenivasa Rao Esq ,

3 A K Pani Esq , D P H ,
Sanitary Commissioner

4 M Ramachandra Rao Sindia Esq

5 M Subbiah Esq B A

6 B Abdur Rahmon Esq , B A

7 V Lakshmanaswami Esq

XX XX XX

10 Letter No R 13319 M1 161-20-2 dated the 28th May 1921 from the Secretary to Government Revenue Department enquiring whether any funds can be allotted for expenditure during the next year on the Market frontage work and also whether it would not be preferable to defer the work, as only a sum of Rs 75 000 has been provided in the State Budget for the next year as the Government grant for Bangalore City Improvements

Also Chairman's reply No 602 dated 6th June 1921 to the above

Resolution -- The Committee fully endorse the views of the Chairman and consider that the construction of the

34

frontage should on no account be deferred

XX XX XX

Yours

Chairman,
City Improvement Committee,
Bangalore

Diary No. of letter replied to 9086

General and Revenue Secretariat

(Rel)

the 191

Section Rel

File No 161-21

Serial No 5

No

To

The Chairman,
City Improvement
Committee, Bangalore

Sir

Date of issue 11 7 21

Signed by Smr H. Ramaiya

I am directed to refer to your correspondence ending with your letter No 602 dated the 6th June 1921 requesting the sanction of the Government to the grant of a frontage to the Cantonment and to request you to be good enough to state for the information of Government the amount spent up to date and also the amount

over

List of enclosures.

Copied by

Examined by

No 114 OFFICE OF THE CHAIRMAN CITY IMPROVEMENT COMMITTEE

Encls As per list Dated Bangalore 18th July 1921

1845

D 388
L 187/21

From

DEWAN BAHADUR RAJA SABHA BHUSHANA
K P PUTTANNA CHETTY CIE
Chairman City Improvement Committee
Bangalore

L 16 JUL 1921

To

Jud 161-20-6

B Ramaswamaiya Esq , B A B L ,
Secretary to the Government of His Highness the
Maharaja of Mysore, Revenue Department,
B a n g a l o r e

SIR

In reply to your letter No.L 182/Mi.161-20-5 dated the 11th instant asking for some particulars in connection with the construction of the Market frontage I have the honor to state that, out of the sum of Rs 1 56 000 sanctioned for the construction of the interior stalls of the New General Market a sum of Rs 88 881 has been spent up-to-date.

2 Out of the Budget grant for the current year (1921-22), a sum of Rs 45 000 - Rs 30 000 from Government and Rs 15 000 from the Municipality - is proposed to be spent on the construction of the interior stalls In addition to this a sum of Rs 24 500 - Rs 16,333 from State grant and 8167 from the Municipality - is also proposed to be spent on the construction of the Market frontage

3 In this connection, I would like to mention that in the last meeting of the City Improvement Committee there was a strong consensus of opinion among the members that the construction of the New Market luding the frontage block should be completed as early as possible iding the requisite funds since prolonging the work is a source of oss to the Municipality and great inconvenience to the public.

4 The plans and estimates received with your letter are erewith resubmitted

I have the honor to be,
Sir
Your most obedient servant
K P Puttanna Chetty
Chairman
City Improvement Committee
Bangalore

Proceedings of the Government of H H
the Maharaja of Mysore

- - -

Read Again --

Government order No 6317-20/M1 87-17-32 dated the 9th May 1918 sanctioning among other works a scheme for the construction of the General Market in the Bangalore City at a cost of Rs 4½ lakhs

2 Government order No 9805-7/M1 87-17-55 dated the 5th February 1919 sanctioning a detailed estimate for -- constructing the interior stalls of the New General Market Bangalore City at a cost of Rs 1 56 000/-

3 Letter No 304 dated the 6th Decemb 1920 from the Chairman City Improvement Committee Bangalore submitting for sanction plans and a detailed estimate amounting to Rs 1 16 000/- for the construction of the frontage of the New General Market as finally approved by the City Improvement Committee and the Municipal Council and stating that the plan and elevation of the buildings are mainly based on the design of Mr Fritchley with only slight alterations in the details as modified by the Committee that out of the amount of Rs 4_2 lakhs sanctioned for the scheme of the New Market a sum of Rs 1 86 947/- has been expended for compensation for properties acquired in the area and a sum of Rs 1 56 000/- has been sanctioned in the Government order of the 5th February 1919 read above, for the construction of the

37

interior stalls leaving thus a balance of Rs 1,07,053/- for meeting the estimate of Rs 1,16,000/- now submitted for the frontage and that the excess cost of Rs 9 000/- being barely 2 percent of the originally sanctioned amount may be sanctioned

4 Un-official Note No 97/P W D dated the 22nd February 1921 from the Chief Engineer of Mysore forwarding for sanction the above estimate received from the Chairman of the City Improvement Committee duly scrutinised in his office for Rs 1 20 820/-

5 Correspondence ending with attached No 11 dated the 25th July 1921 from the Chairman City Improvement Committee Bangalore on the subject

Even plan to be sent separately

Order -- No L 1937-40
Rel 161-20-7

Dated Bangalore the 28/9/1921

The estimate modified by the Chief Engineer for Rs 1 20 820/- is sanctioned the extra charge being debitable to the City Improvement Funds

15-9-21

For Secretary to Government
Revenue Department

To

The Chairman City Imp Com Bangalore with plans and sanctioned est
" Chief Engineer
" President Bangalore City I
" Comptroller